

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,672	04/16/2004	Hiroyuki Suzuki	Q81115	8646	
23373 75	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE M	IION, PLLC		SCHILLING,	RICHARD L	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	l.W.			
SUITE 800	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N DC 20037		1752		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/825,672	SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Richard L Schilling	1752	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the properties of the properties	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u> . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>E</u> .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/084,946</u> . ed in this National Stage	·
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4-16-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Serial No. 10/825,672

Art Unit 1752

- 1. Claims 11-13 are rejected under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being based on insufficient disclosure. The specification fails to show one skilled in the art how to make gold sulfide colloids by the methods of the instant claims except for methods wherein colloidal gold sulfide is made by reacting compounds of Formula 2 with water soluble sulfur compounds in a protective colloid. The instant claims do not require the essential ingredient of a water soluble sulfur compound or the reaction thereof with the compounds of Formula 2.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a
printed publication in this or a foreign country or in
public use or on sale in this country, more than one
year prior to the date of application for patent in the
United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability

Serial No. 10/825,672
Art Unit 1752

shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Masutomi et al., Yamashita et al. or Budz et al. Masutomi et al. (see particularly column 2, line 50 - column 3, line 12; column 5, lines 35-51) discloses silver halide emulsions chemically sensitized with colloidal gold sulfide. Yamashita et al. (see particularly column 6, lines 12-35) and Budz et al. (see particularly column 28, line 23 - column 29, line 9; column 9, lines 7-19) discloses silver halide emulsions chemically sensitized with colloidal gold sulfide. If the applied prior art does not anticipate the instant claims, then it would at least be obvious to one skilled in the art that the colloidal gold sulfide of the applied prior art is essentially the same as the colloidal gold sulfide of instant claim 13. Also, how the colloidal gold sulfide which is present in instant claim 13 is prepared is not clear since only one reactant, e.g. Formula 2 compound, is specified. Instant claim 13 does not require the presence of any byproducts of compound Formula 2 in the claimed silver halide emulsions.

3. Hill is cited of interest in the art as disclosing compounds within the scope of Formula 2 of instant claim 11.

Art Unit 1752

Japanese Publication 4-342249 is cited of interest in the art as disclosing silver halide emulsions containing gold sulfide. The prior art cited by applicants and cited in the parent application has been considered. Lutzy et al., the two McVeigh patents and Dunn et al. also disclose silver halide emulsions sensitized with gold sulfide.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc

October 4, 2004

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP-1100 /752